The Succession Compression Thesis™

How Time Pressure Destroys Choice Before It Destroys Value

By Peter J. Merrick, TEP® and Adrian C. Spitters, CFP®, FCSI®, CEA

The Merrick Spitters Reset Report™ | *North American White Paper Series*

REFERENCE EDITION

August 2026

Executive Summary

THE FORMAL THESIS
Wealth is often most vulnerable during transition, not accumulation. Succession value begins to erode when the time remaining to coordinate ownership, liquidity, taxation, financing, governance, leadership and family decisions becomes shorter than the time those decisions require.

The Succession Compression Thesis™ is the formal proposition developed in this paper. Succession Compression™ is the condition the thesis explains: decisions that should have been made over years are forced into months, weeks or days, while the number of institutions, obligations and people capable of imposing deadlines increases.

Succession rarely fails because an owner never heard that planning was important. It fails because unresolved decisions accumulate quietly until illness, death, burnout, partner conflict, credit pressure, tax change, regulatory intervention or a transaction creates a deadline that the family cannot control.

The loss is not limited to a lower sale price. Compression can narrow tax options, increase financing costs, weaken governance, strengthen a buyer's negotiating position, unsettle employees, intensify family disagreement and force the family to sell what should have been retained or retain what should have been sold.

This is a North American structural problem. Canadian and United States law differ, but both systems contain institutional clocks that continue running while families are grieving, negotiating, refinancing or attempting to transfer control.

This paper rebuilds Succession Compression™ from first principles. It defines the condition, explains its transmission mechanisms, introduces a diagnostic heuristic, integrates liquidity, leverage, governance, tax, control and human continuity, and sets out a process for restoring time and choice before the owner's alternatives expire.

The Formal Thesis

The central proposition is that wealth is often most vulnerable at transition, not accumulation. During accumulation, errors can sometimes be corrected with time, cash flow, new management, refinancing or another market cycle; during transition, several obligations can crystallize at once while the people responsible for acting may have less authority, less information and less emotional capacity.

The Succession Compression Thesis™ therefore focuses on the relationship between required coordination time and available decision time. When the planning, approval and implementation work required for a credible transition exceeds the window remaining before action must occur, the owner no longer controls the sequence.

Sequence is not an administrative preference. A valuation should inform transaction structure, transaction structure should inform tax and financing analysis, liquidity should be secured before obligations become payable, governance should identify who can act, and management continuity should be credible before customers, employees, lenders or buyers test it.

When those tasks are reversed or attempted simultaneously, each decision constrains the others. The family may still complete a sale or transfer, but completion is not the same as preservation of value, control, relationships and purpose.

Time Is a Form of Capital

Owners naturally measure capital in dollars, property, equipment, contracts, goodwill and business value. Succession adds another form of capital: time, because time allows decisions to be tested, sequenced, revised and implemented before an outside event determines the deadline.

Time allows a successor to learn and a management team to earn authority. It allows customer relationships to become institutional rather than personal, legal documents to be aligned with financial reality, insurance to be considered while health permits, and liquidity to be accumulated without selling productive assets under pressure.

Time also improves the quality of refusal. An owner with independent income, more than one transition route and no immediate lender or health deadline can reject a weak offer, postpone a transfer that is not ready or change a succession candidate without destabilizing the enterprise.

When time disappears, every other form of capital becomes harder to use. Cash may be trapped in the operating company, insurance may no longer be obtainable on acceptable terms, refinancing may depend on a successor who has not been prepared, and tax planning may be constrained by a transaction already under negotiation.

Peter learned the limits of time through personal loss and decades of work with families in Canada and the United States. Adrian entered the investment industry during the 1987 market crash and learned through repeated cycles that preparation cannot predict every shock, but it can reduce the number of decisions forced into the worst possible moment.

Defining Succession Compression™

Succession Compression™ is the structural condition in which unresolved transition decisions, a forcing event and expiring alternatives converge inside a shortened decision window. It is not simply delay, poor planning or a forced-sale discount; it is a loss of sequence, option quality and practical control.

A decision backlog. Important choices about authority, successor, value, liquidity, debt, tax, family fairness, management continuity and post-ownership purpose remain unresolved. The backlog is often invisible because the owner is still active and the company is still profitable.

A forcing event. Health, death, conflict, credit, regulation, markets or a transaction imposes a deadline. The forcing event does not need to destroy the company; it only needs to make a decision unavoidable.

An institutional clock. A lender, buyer, tax authority, court, insurer, regulator or contractual counterparty begins applying formal requirements and due dates. These institutions may act rationally within their own mandates while producing a sequence the family did not choose.

A loss of alternatives. Options that were once available become more expensive, less credible or impossible. The owner may still have choices, but the best choices often disappear first because they require the most lead time, health, trust or institutional approval.

The process often develops quietly. The family is not beginning a normal planning process when the forcing event arrives; it is attempting to complete years of decisions inside an institutional clock while operations, relationships and financial obligations continue.

The Mechanics of Compression

Backlog, Coupling and Sequence

A succession plan contains multiple workstreams, but those workstreams are not independent. Ownership affects control, control affects credit, credit affects liquidity, liquidity affects tax and estate obligations, and each of those decisions can affect family fairness and management continuity.

This interdependence creates coupling. The more tightly decisions are coupled, the less safely they can be completed in parallel, because a late change in valuation, financing or family agreement can require other professionals to reopen work that appeared complete.

Compression can therefore grow faster than the number of unresolved tasks. Ten disconnected tasks may be manageable, while five highly coupled tasks can become unmanageable if each depends on the others being settled first.

Option Expiry

Every meaningful succession option has an expiry condition. Insurance depends on health and underwriting, financing depends on credit and lender approval, a family transition depends on willingness and competence, and a third-party sale depends on transferable earnings, market conditions and buyer confidence.

Some expiry conditions are gradual, while others are binary. The owner may slowly lose energy over several years, but death, incapacity, a covenant breach or a signed exclusivity agreement can close alternatives immediately.

Decision Quality Under Pressure

Decision quality declines when the number of required decisions rises at the same time that information, authority and emotional capacity fall. Families often experience this after death or incapacity, when grief and uncertainty increase precisely as banks, employees, customers and professional advisors need instructions.

The result is not necessarily irrational behaviour. People may accept inferior terms because the cost of delay, disagreement or operational instability has become greater than the visible financial concession.

A Diagnostic Heuristic: The Compression Ratio

The Compression Ratio is a planning heuristic, not a valuation formula or empirically validated prediction. Its purpose is to make a hidden timing problem visible by comparing the coordination time a transition reasonably requires with the decision window the owner probably has available.

THE FORMAL THESIS
Compression Ratio = Required Coordination Time ÷ Available Decision Time

A ratio below 1.0 suggests that the current window may be sufficient if work begins and dependencies are managed. A ratio above 1.0 indicates that the required planning time exceeds the available window, while a ratio substantially above 1.0 suggests that restoration of authority, liquidity or operational stability must precede broader optimization.

Required Coordination Time should reflect the slowest credible dependency, not the fastest professional estimate. If management development requires eighteen months, lender approval six months, an insurance process three months and family agreement remains uncertain, the plan should not assume all work can safely be compressed into the shortest period.

Available Decision Time should also be conservative. A sixty-five-year-old owner with rising burnout, a debt renewal in nine months and one serious buyer inquiry does not necessarily have five years merely because retirement has not been formally scheduled.

Illustrative ratio	Interpretation	Planning implication
0.5	Required work is estimated at half the available window.	The family may have time to compare routes, test assumptions and correct weaknesses.
1.0	Required work equals the available window.	Any delay, failed approval or new fact can remove the margin for error.
2.0	Required work is estimated at twice the available window.	Stabilization and triage are required because full optimization is no longer credible.
4.0+	The forcing event has reduced the window to a fraction of the required time.	Preserving authority, operations, liquidity and relationships takes priority over ideal sequencing.

The ratio should never be used to promise an outcome or replace legal, tax, credit, insurance or valuation analysis. Its value is diagnostic: it forces the family to ask whether the planning window is real, whether dependencies have been counted and which options are closest to expiry.

The Compression Clock

Phase	Available time and choice	Institutional response	Primary risk
Open choice	Owner is healthy, operations are stable and no deadline controls the process.	Advisors, lenders and family members can review alternatives deliberately.	Complacency allows the decision backlog to grow.
Emerging pressure	Burnout, conflict, health concern, concentration, debt renewal or unsolicited interest appears.	More information, valuation work and contingency planning become necessary.	The owner treats a warning as temporary and loses preparation time.
Institutional review	Change in control, guarantee, covenant, incapacity, death or transaction becomes real.	Lenders, buyers, insurers, tax authorities, courts and regulators apply formal rules.	Conditional options disappear and counterparties gain leverage.
Forced execution	Cash, authority, refinancing or a sale decision is required immediately.	The family must accept available terms rather than preferred terms.	Value, control or relationships are permanently impaired.

The phases are not fixed calendar periods. A company can move from open choice to forced execution in a day after death or a serious accident, while another can drift through emerging pressure for years as the founder's energy and management depth decline.

The purpose of the clock is not to dramatize risk. It is to identify movement before the final phase, when the family can still convert time into structure rather than using cash, concessions or conflict to compensate for time that has been lost.

How Compression Travels Through the Enterprise

Liquidity Compression

A family can be wealthy and unable to pay obligations when they fall due. Land, operating companies, development projects, quota, equipment and private investments may carry substantial value while producing little cash that can be accessed without sale, borrowing or loss of control.

The Liquidity Gap™ is the difference between transition obligations and the accessible capital available to meet them by date and legal entity. Succession Compression™ makes that gap harder to close because insurance, refinancing, asset sales and tax planning each become more conditional as the deadline approaches.

Leverage and Refinancing Compression

A succession involving a change in control, guarantees, refinancing or lender consent can become an underwriting event. A lender may need to reassess guarantees, management competence, ownership, collateral, cash flow, covenants and the effect of tax, redemption or equalization obligations on leverage.

The lender’s questions are rational, but the timing can transfer control from the family to the credit process. If debt renewal, ownership transfer and a major liquidity requirement occur together, even a viable enterprise can be forced to accept new equity, shorter amortization, additional security or an accelerated sale.

Governance and Control Compression

Economic ownership and practical authority are not the same. A successor may inherit shares without signing authority, customer credibility, voting control, management experience or the trust of key employees.

Governance compression occurs when the family must decide who can act while legal documents, corporate records, banking authorities and actual operating practice point in different directions. Disputes then become more consequential because every delay affects payroll, financing, customer confidence and transaction value.

Tax and Legal Compression

Tax and legal planning are highly sequence-dependent. Reorganizations, elections, ownership changes, exemptions, reserves, trusts, charitable strategies and cross-border structures can require lead time, current law, valid legal and commercial purposes, accurate valuations and coordinated documentation.

A transaction already under negotiation can limit what remains practical or appropriate. At death, statutory filing and payment obligations continue even when the estate’s capital is concentrated in assets that the family does not want to sell.

Human and Operational Compression

The owner’s identity, health and willingness affect the transition as much as the legal structure. Burnout can make an apparently voluntary sale emotionally forced, while an unprepared successor can accept authority to satisfy family expectations rather than because the role fits.

Employees, customers and suppliers also react to uncertainty. If communication is delayed or contradictory, the people whose confidence supports transferable earnings may leave before legal ownership is settled.

How Value Is Lost Before the Price Falls

Negotiating leverage moves. A buyer who knows the owner needs a closing can demand a lower price, longer earn-out, larger holdback, broader indemnity or more seller financing. The headline value can remain attractive while the certainty and timing of proceeds deteriorate.

Transferable earnings become uncertain. If customer relationships, pricing authority and technical knowledge remain personal to the founder, historical earnings no longer provide the same evidence about future performance. A buyer or lender will price the risk that those earnings cannot survive the transfer.

Financing becomes conditional. The founder's guarantee may not survive and the successor may not qualify on equivalent terms. New equity, revised covenants, appraisals, insurance and management commitments may be required before funds are available.

Tax options narrow. A business sale is not one undifferentiated event. The legal form, allocation, ownership history, residency, timing and qualification rules can materially affect the result, and those factors cannot always be changed after negotiations are advanced.

Family conflict intensifies. A discussion that could have been mediated over several meetings becomes an immediate contest over money, authority and fairness. Positions harden because financial value and personal identity are being negotiated at the same time.

Operational attention declines. Management becomes consumed by the crisis or transaction while customers, employees and competitors continue acting. The enterprise can weaken during the very period in which outsiders are measuring its resilience.

This is why Succession Compression™ should not be defined only as a forced-sale discount. A lower price is one possible outcome, while the deeper loss is the disappearance of alternatives, sequence, decision quality and the owner's ability to say no.

North American Institutional Context

Canada

The Canada Revenue Agency states that capital property owned at death is generally considered disposed of immediately before death at fair market value, subject to applicable transfers, deductions and specialized rules. The family must therefore coordinate valuation, reporting, legal ownership and liquidity even when no commercial sale has occurred. [7]

A sale during life introduces its own timing issues. The CRA notes that qualifying small business corporation shares and qualified farm or fishing property may be eligible for a capital gains deduction if detailed conditions are satisfied, which means eligibility and structure must be examined before the transaction fixes the facts. [8]

The planning gap is significant. CFIB reported in 2023 that 76 percent of Canadian small business owners expected to exit within ten years, placing more than C$2 trillion of business assets in play, while only 9 percent had a formal succession plan [4]; Statistics Canada reported that 12 percent of farms had a written succession plan in the 2021 Census of Agriculture. [6]

United States

In the United States, the sale of a business may require the seller and purchaser to classify and allocate value among separate assets [11, 13], and federal estate tax rules can create filing and payment deadlines after death. The IRS explains that Form 706 is generally due nine months after death when required, subject to extensions and specialized elections. [12]

Internal Revenue Code section 6166 can permit qualifying estates with closely held business interests to pay attributable estate tax in installments, but it is not automatic liquidity. Qualification, election, security, continuing compliance and the estate's ability to meet interest and installment obligations remain part of the planning problem. [12, 14]

The scale of exposure is equally broad. The U.S. Small Business Administration reported 36.2 million small businesses in 2026 [9], and USDA research published in 2026 reported that fewer than one-third of producers had a succession plan in place in 2019. [10]

Cross-Border Families

Cross-border families can face both systems plus residency, treaty, trust, entity, insurance, withholding and reporting questions. A Toronto owner with a child in California, or a San Diego entrepreneur with Canadian shareholders or property, cannot safely assume that a domestic plan will coordinate itself across the border.

The human pressure is the same even when the rules differ. A spouse, child, trustee or executive must make decisions while institutions apply standards designed for taxation, credit, administration or market protection rather than family convenience.

Quantified Composite Cases

The following cases combine recurring patterns and do not describe any one family. The figures are deliberately simplified to demonstrate timing and dependency, not to estimate tax, valuation or legal outcomes for a real client.

The Canadian Manufacturer

A sixty-three-year-old founder owns a profitable manufacturer valued informally at C$12 million, representing approximately 80 percent of family net worth. The owner has C$3 million of personal retirement capital outside the company, the business carries C$4 million of debt, one customer represents 28 percent of revenue and no executive has full pricing or banking authority.

A buyer offers C$10 million subject to exclusivity, due diligence and a 30 percent earn-out. During review, the buyer identifies customer concentration, environmental documentation gaps and founder-dependent pricing, while the bank requires evidence that guarantees and management will continue.

The family assumes C$2.3 million must be reserved for tax, professional costs and debt-related adjustments, leaving less immediate capital than the owner expected and making the earn-out important to retirement security. Earlier preparation could have institutionalized customer and pricing relationships, completed a formal valuation, created financial independence and preserved the ability to reject exclusivity until the structure was understood.

The Canadian Farm Family

A farm family has C$20 million of land, quota, equipment and operating-company value, C$5 million of debt and C$2 million of accessible financial capital. Two children work in the business, one child does not, and the parents want equal treatment without dividing the operating assets.

A simplified transition model identifies C$3 million of potential tax and transaction reserves, C$4 million of family equalization obligations and C$1 million of working-capital and debt-renewal protection. Against C$2 million of accessible capital, the illustrative Liquidity Gap™ is C$6 million before considering timing, entity ownership and the cost of financing.

If death or illness forces the transfer before governance, insurance, lender discussions and family agreements are complete, the apparent C$20 million of value does not solve the immediate obligations. The family may be forced to refinance or sell land even though preserving the productive farm was the shared objective.

The U.S. Family Company

A founder owns a company valued at US$18 million and holds US$4 million of liquid assets, while ownership passes equally to two children under the estate plan. One child operates the company without formal control and the other is financially independent but wants equal economic treatment.

After incapacity, the bank requests updated guarantees and management information, employees receive calls from competitors and the inactive child questions a proposed refinancing. The company remains profitable, but authority, compensation, ownership, financing and customer communication must be resolved within weeks.

The primary damage is not yet an appraisal reduction. It is the loss of sequence, because the family cannot establish governance without affecting credit, cannot settle equalization without liquidity and cannot reassure employees without deciding who has legitimate authority.

The Cross-Border Developer

A Canadian resident developer holds projects and investment entities in Canada while a spouse spends substantial time in California and adult children live in both countries. The family balance sheet is valuable, but ownership, guarantees, trusts, beneficiary designations and tax residency assumptions were created at different times by different professionals.

An unsolicited project-level offer arrives while a major loan matures in eight months and the owner's health is changing. The family must coordinate sale structure, refinancing, residency, entity ownership, currency, insurance and estate planning before any one professional can safely assume the facts are stable.

Compression arises from simultaneous jurisdictional and financing clocks. The correct response is not a generic cross-border product; it is an integrated process in which Canadian and U.S. professionals work from the same ownership map, timing assumptions and intended family outcome.

Early Warning Indicators

Owner dependence. One person remains the only signer, guarantor, relationship holder or source of essential knowledge. The intended successor has a title but has not exercised real authority under normal conditions.

Financial dependence. The owner's retirement requires one buyer to pay one price, while debt renewal and succession are expected to occur at the same time. Wealth outside the operating company is insufficient to support the family without a successful transaction.

Governance misalignment. The will, shareholder agreement, corporate records, powers of attorney, banking authorities and beneficiary designations were prepared at different times or use inconsistent assumptions. Family members cannot explain who controls decisions during incapacity, death or dispute.

Operational fragility. Customer, supplier, employee and pricing relationships remain personal to the founder. Important processes are undocumented and the management team has not been tested without the owner.

Narrowing behaviour. The owner repeatedly postpones a transition date, becomes increasingly tired or treats an unsolicited offer as an escape. The phrase "we will deal with that later" appears whenever liquidity, authority, successor competence or family fairness is raised.

Professional fragmentation. Tax, legal, insurance, investment, valuation, M&A and banking professionals work in separate files and use different assumptions. No advisor-facilitator is responsible for establishing one factual record, sequencing decisions and identifying contradictions.

One signal does not prove that a crisis is imminent. Several signals operating together indicate that the decision backlog is growing, dependencies are coupling and the planning window is becoming less credible.

The Decompression Protocol

The opposite of compression is not delay. It is deliberate sequencing that protects authority, operations and liquidity first, then restores the owner's ability to compare routes and make long-duration decisions.

Phase	Primary objective	Evidence of completion
Stabilize	Confirm who can act, protect payroll and operations, secure records, communicate with critical people and identify immediate deadlines.	Authority is usable, cash access is known, urgent counterparties have a credible contact and no critical task depends on an unavailable person.

Phase	Primary objective	Evidence of completion
Quantify	Prepare a consolidated ownership map, current valuation range, obligation calendar, Liquidity Gap™ analysis and refinancing review.	The family can identify what is owned, who controls it, what must be paid, when it is due and which assumptions require professional confirmation.
Rebuild options	Develop management depth, increase external family capital, align legal and tax structures, review insurance and preserve more than one transition route.	The owner can compare family, management, employee, third-party, professional-management and wind-down alternatives without relying on one future event.
Govern	Set annual reviews and event-driven triggers for health, debt, acquisitions, ownership, family, tax and serious offers.	Documents, models, roles and professional assumptions are updated before the next forcing event rather than during it.

Decompression does not require every decision to become final today. It requires the decisions that preserve tomorrow's alternatives to be made before the conditions supporting those alternatives expire.

A credible protocol should include a first-day, first-thirty-day and first-ninety-day rehearsal for incapacity or death. The rehearsal often reveals missing passwords, signing authority, insurance information, customer communication, payroll access and governance decisions that ordinary document review overlooks.

The Five Pillars of Succession Security™

The Five Pillars of Succession Security™ identify the responsibilities that must reinforce one another before transition. They are not alternative labels for the thesis, and they should not be replaced by supporting considerations or generic planning categories.

Pillar	Responsibility	Compression risk addressed
One	Engineering Liquidity Before It Is Required	Prevents tax, equalization, redemption and continuity obligations from forcing a sale or emergency borrowing.
Two	Reducing Refinancing Dependence	Reduces the risk that lender approval becomes the only route to continuity after a change in control or guarantee.

Pillar	Responsibility	Compression risk addressed
Three	Aligning Governance With Capital Structure	Connects authority, voting, debt, share ownership, buy-sell terms and operating control so they function together.
Four	Monetary Independence As A Stability Layer	Maintains part of family resilience outside the operating business and conventional credit chain without treating reserves as a substitute for productive assets.
Five	Custodial Integrity And Asset Segregation	Keeps liquid financial capital identifiable, properly registered, independently held and accessible when operating and family structures are under pressure.

Three considerations operate across all five pillars: Cross-Border Tax Efficiency and Jurisdictional Planning, Continuity of Management and Ownership, and Intergenerational Alignment and Governance. These considerations make the pillars workable across families and jurisdictions, but they do not replace the published five-pillar order.

Relationship to the Other Frameworks

Framework	Core question	Role in the sequence
The Dual Balance Sheet Concept™	Is visible enterprise value supported by structural readiness outside and around the business?	Diagnoses dependence in liquidity, management, governance, tax, credit and family readiness.
The Liquidity Gap™	What obligations will arise, and what accessible capital exists by date and legal entity?	Quantifies the funding exposure that can force sale, refinancing or division of productive assets.
Succession Compression™	What happens when required coordination time exceeds the remaining decision window?	Explains why structural weaknesses become losses of sequence, choice, control and value.
Integrated Succession Architecture™	How should liquidity, credit, governance, tax, management, custody and family stewardship be coordinated?	Builds and governs the integrated response before transition compresses the owner’s choices.

The four papers form one escalation: diagnose structural weakness, quantify funding exposure, understand timing pressure and build the integrated system. Each paper should stand independently, but none should imply that one framework performs the work of the others.

Succession Compression™ remains the defined condition and applied mechanism throughout the series. The Succession Compression Thesis™ is the formal white paper and proposition explaining that condition, so the phrase “the thesis” should never be used as though it were the crisis itself.

The Graceful Exit™

The Graceful Exit™ is the human response to the structural problem described in this thesis. A transaction can close successfully while the owner loses financial independence, identity, family alignment or purpose, which means selling and exiting are related but different transitions.

A graceful exit occurs when the owner, wealth and family are prepared for what follows the transfer. The owner has sufficient capital outside the enterprise, the family understands the purpose and limits of the wealth, successors are prepared rather than merely named, and life after ownership has been considered before the business stops organizing every day.

This distinction prevents The Graceful Exit™ from duplicating Succession Compression™. The thesis explains how delay destroys options, while The Graceful Exit™ explains how a person and family move from control of an enterprise to stewardship of wealth, relationships and purpose.

Governance Converts Time Into Structure

A succession plan that is never governed becomes a historical document. Ownership, health, debt, business value, family circumstances, tax law, key people and market conditions change, so the planning architecture must be reviewed against current facts rather than preserved as a static binder.

At minimum, the family should establish an annual review covering ownership, authority, management depth, liquidity, debt maturities, guarantees, insurance, valuation, custody, beneficiary designations, tax and family communication. A serious offer, health change, acquisition, new debt, shareholder dispute, marriage, divorce, death or cross-border move should trigger an additional review.

Governance should assign responsibility for maintaining the ownership map, obligation calendar, key-document inventory and professional coordination. Accountability matters because a plan can fail even when each specialist’s work is technically correct if assumptions, timing and documents do not connect.

Make the Important Decisions While Choice Exists

Owners do not need to know the exact date of death, retirement or sale. They need to recognize that every option has an expiry condition and that the most valuable planning decisions are often those that preserve the right to decide later.

Succession security begins while health, leverage, institutional confidence and family relationships can still be influenced. Time is not merely the period before succession; it is one of the assets succession planning is meant to protect.

The business owner should therefore make the important decisions while choice still exists. That is how a valuable enterprise becomes transferable wealth, and how a life of work can become a legacy rather than an emergency.

About the Authors

Peter J. Merrick, TEP®. Peter is an international speaker, educator and estate-planning specialist with more than three decades of experience advising business owners, professionals and family enterprises across Canada and the United States. His work spans Toronto and San Diego, and he is the author of The King of Main Street and co-author of It Starts With Gold™.

Adrian C. Spitters, CFP®, FCSI®, CEA. Adrian is a Canadian private wealth advisor with nearly 40 years in business. Raised on a dairy farm in British Columbia's Fraser Valley, he focuses on Asset Security, wealth preservation and coordinating specialized professionals around one complete family plan, and he is co-author of It Starts With Gold™.

Research Note

This paper develops a proprietary educational thesis and framework from The Merrick Spitters Reset Report™, lived histories and recurring planning patterns. Composite examples are generalized, simplified and deliberately altered to protect privacy and demonstrate structure rather than predict a specific result.

The Compression Ratio is a diagnostic heuristic and not a validated financial, actuarial, legal or valuation formula. Legal, tax, credit, insurance and transaction conditions vary by jurisdiction and change over time, so all implementation decisions require advice from appropriately qualified professionals using current facts and law.

Disclosure

This material is for educational and informational purposes only. It is not legal, tax, accounting, insurance, investment or estate-planning advice, and it is not an offer or recommendation to buy or sell any security, investment, insurance product or financial instrument.

Strategies involving private investments, precious metals, insurance, trusts, corporations, leverage and cross-border structures involve costs, risks, eligibility requirements and jurisdiction-specific rules. Past decisions and market experiences do not guarantee future results, and readers should consult appropriately licensed legal, tax, accounting, insurance and investment professionals before acting.

References

[1] Merrick, Peter J. The King of Main Street. King of Main Street Publishing Limited, 2019.

[2] Merrick, Peter J., and Adrian C. Spitters. It Starts With Gold™. 2025.

[3] Merrick, Peter J., and Adrian C. Spitters. The Five Pillars of Succession Security™. The Merrick Spitters Reset Report™, 2026.

[4] Canadian Federation of Independent Business. Over $2 Trillion in Business Assets Are at Stake as Majority of Small Business Owners Plan to Exit Their Business Over the Next Decade. January 10, 2023.

[5] Canadian Federation of Independent Business. Business Valuation. October 30, 2025.

[6] Statistics Canada. Canada's 2021 Census of Agriculture: A Story About the Transformation of the Agriculture Industry and Adaptiveness of Canadian Farmers. May 11, 2022.

[7] Canada Revenue Agency. Taxable Capital Gains on Property, Investments, and Belongings: Prepare Tax Returns for Someone Who Died. Updated January 20, 2026.

[8] Canada Revenue Agency. Selling a Business. Accessed August 2026.

[9] U.S. Small Business Administration, Office of Advocacy. Frequently Asked Questions About Small Business 2026. February 3, 2026.

[10] U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. Risk Management Practices on U.S. Farms and Ranches, 1996–2020. Economic Information Bulletin No. 296, 2026.

[11] Internal Revenue Service. Sale of a Business. Updated February 10, 2026.

[12] Internal Revenue Service. Instructions for Form 706. September 2025 revision.

[13] Internal Revenue Service. Instructions for Form 8594. Asset Acquisition Statement Under Section 1060.

[14] United States Code. 26 U.S.C. § 6166: Extension of Time for Payment of Estate Tax Where Estate Consists Largely of Interest in Closely Held Business.

[15] Bernhardt, Donald, and Marshall Eckblad. Stock Market Crash of 1987. Federal Reserve History, 2013.

